

Housing: May 4, 2018

Friday, May 4, 2018 8:19 AM

Proposed Agenda

HOAC Leadership meeting update from April

Review work plan and vote (attached)

Policy Tracking

Strategize on advocacy efforts for the 8 approved policy recommendations

Messaging training May 23rd (tentatively scheduled after HOAC meeting (3:15pm) and evening at Tunison meeting room, Southtown)

How to broaden our support

Attendees: Sara Ingle, Julie Manning, Shawn Collins, Sara Hartstein, Nicole Hindes, Lynn Ho (OSU intern), Roberta Shaw

Julie: Question about the planned training after the May 23 HOAC meeting -- desire to pull info from that training back into this group, and develop a messaging framework that we can leverage for advocacy from this group.

Flyers distributed for HOAC and Tunison training opportunities

Repost to HOAC Facebook

HOAC Governing Board update: Approved the process, and the agenda items unanimously

Recommend future items go to a "two meeting" process -- this was a three meeting process

Include draft motion text in the agenda in the future.

Review work plan and vote:

Discussion:

- Item 11: how can we stay in communication with the people who have gone through training, and coordinate with them on advocacy opportunities.

This would make it easier to mobilize and activate the network of advocates.

Possibly share the HOAC approved policy positions.

- Create mailing list, or some other vehicle to support communications -- sign up at the Tunison and other training opportunities.
 - The intern position - co-located at WNHS and OSU -- discussed at the last meeting could be a locus for this activity
 - ◆ DRAFT position description has been developed
- There are opportunities for advocacy that we're "missing" -- the OSU property discussion on May 3, would have been a perfect time, but there was no coordinated effort to advocate at that event.
 - Need Opportunity Tracking effort
 - ◆ South Corvallis Urban Renewal district effort is another place where we need to be present and vocal
- Question: Intent of review -- is the intent to modify?
 - Yes -- all workgroups were provided a draft -- but not as a directive, more suggestive. Intent is for each workgroup to modify and adopt.
- **Suggested additions**
 - Development of a policy framework, with an annual review process -- memorialize the work that was just completed with the HOAC Governing Board
 - Capture the development of an intern position -- does that align to #3?
 - Yes - target date fall 2018
 - SUGGESTION: Use workplan as an activity tracker to capture delivery against objective
- **MOTION:** Julie -- approve the workplan and forward to HOAC (with modifications as discussed).
 - Second: Nicole
 - Approved
- Further discussion
 - Item 12
 - Specific policy priorities recently approved
 - ◆ Link to this item to the approved items
 - ◆ Need for people to track Planning Commission, Council Work Sessions, etc, to spot items
- **ACTION:** Shawn to update workplan as discussed, and share back to group and then HOAC Governing Board

Policy Tracking

- ADUs have been taken up by the County, to meet state requirements - SB 1051 requires ADU in all Counties, 1 ADU permitted per residence regardless of lot size (within UGB), and development to "reasonable" standards.
 - The language of SB 1051 conflicts with City standards -- this has been discussed at Planning Commission

- Owner-occupied requirement is advised against by the state -- but City and County retaining at this time
- There is a July 1 deadline for compliance -- continuing debate at City/County over how to comply
 - Architectural standards
 - Owner occupied
 - Setbacks and parking
- **Policy Tracking Document review**
 - Intent would be to have intern track and manage this document
 - Discussion of Item 18: Rezoning/Annexation to increase available lands
 - Annexation topic will come forward at May 7 City Council
 - Discussion of Development Agreements (Item 17)
 - May also be on City Council May 7 agenda
 - Urban Renewal (Item 19)
 - Group has formed and is meeting -- need to get some recommendation from Jim Moorefield on how best to support the work of that group
 - Cluster/Cottage housing concepts will be discussed at City Club on May 8 -- based on commissioned research by OPAL, the OSU Policy Lab.
 - City code permits this type of project, but they are not being developed
 - One of the findings from the study is that it needs more incentivizing -- density bonus may be one of the incentives available.
 - Findings will be shared with City Council after presented at City Club.
 - How can we continue to advocate on the idea of density bonuses
 - Kent Weiss and Jason Yaich have been involved in the planning meetings with OPAL.
 - This effort is new for City Club
 - **QUESTION:** How do we productively report out to HOAC? There's activity from this group, and items that need to be raised to view, but we need to sort out how to manage reporting in a concise and effective way.
 - For May meeting
 - Share approved and revised workplan
 - ◆ Highlight any new/changed items on the draft
 - Future meetings -- simpler report of specific items
 - ◆ Focus on 8 policy items and related actions
 - ◆ Highlight specific opportunities and issues

Strategize on advocacy efforts for 8 approved policy recommendations

- Item 8 - securing funding for supportive housing
 - City/County likely will fund all the HOAC position and Shelter